

FACULTY OF ADMINISTRATIVE SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION/TOURISM STUDY PROGRAM

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN SUBJECT CODE **COURSE CLUBS** WEIGHT **SEMESTER** Date of (credits) Compilation 3 February 9, 2024 **Interpersonal Communication** PAR62016 **MKWPS** 3 **Updates:** February 13, 2024 **AUTHORIZATION RMK Coordinator Head of Study Program RPS Developer Lecturer** Arsih Amalia Chandra Permata, Drs. Wiyata., MAB, PhD Dr. Edy Yulianto, M.P. MIKom Learning **CPL PROGRAM** Outcomes Students are able to integrate nationalistic attitudes, behavioral values and ethics both in the community and work CPL1 environment. CPL2 Students are able to produce critical and innovative thinking to support business decision making in the tourism sector. CPL3 Students are able to produce scientific studies to answer current issues in the tourism sector. Students are able to practice communication skills, both oral and written, effectively. CPL4 CPL5 Students are able to manage businesses in the tourism sector by prioritizing entrepreneurial values. CPL6 Students are able to implement science and technology in solving tourism problems CP - MK



FACULTY OF ADMINISTRATIVE SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION/TOURISM STUDY PROGRAM

| | SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|
| SUBJECT CODE | | | CODE | COURSE CLUBS | | WEIGHT (credits) | SEMESTER | Date of Compilation |
| Interpersonal Communication | | PAR62016 | MKWPS | | 3 | 3 | February 9, 2024 Updates: February 13, 2024 | |
| AUTHORIZATION | | | RPS Developer Le | cturer | RMK Coordinator | 1 | Head of Study Pr | ogram |
| | | | | handra Permata, Drs. Wiyata., MAB, PhD | | Dr. Edy Yulianto, M.P. | | |
| Learning Outcomes | CPL PRO | GRAM | | | | | | |
| | CPMK1 | Able to ι | ınderstand the basi | c concepts of inter | ersonal communication (CPL1, CPL4) | | | |
| | CPMK2 | Able to ι | ınderstand self-con | cept (CPL1) | | | | |
| | СРМК3 | Able to ι | ınderstand verbal a | nd non-verbal com | munication (CPL1, | CPL4) | | |
| | CPMK4 | Able to ι | ınderstand and prad | ctice verbal and no | n-verbal communic | ation orally and in | writing (CPL1, CPL4 |) |
| CPMK-CPL Weight | | | | | | | | |
| Mapping | | | CPL1 | CPL2 | CPL3 | CPL4 | CPL5 | CPL6 |
| | CPMK1 | | 0.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 |
| | СРМК2 | - | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | I | CPMK3 0.2 | | 0 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 |
| | СРМК4 | | 0.1 | 0 | 0 | 0.9 | 0 | 0 |
| ĺ | l | | | | | | | |



FACULTY OF ADMINISTRATIVE SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION/TOURISM STUDY PROGRAM

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN SUBJECT CODE **COURSE CLUBS** WEIGHT **SEMESTER** Date of (credits) Compilation 3 **Interpersonal Communication** PAR62016 **MKWPS** 3 February 9, 2024 **Updates:** February 13, 2024 **AUTHORIZATION RPS Developer Lecturer RMK Coordinator Head of Study Program** Arsih Amalia Chandra Permata, Drs. Wiyata., MAB, PhD Dr. Edy Yulianto, M.P. MIKom Learning **CPL PROGRAM** Outcomes **MK Brief** This course examines the basic concepts of interpersonal communication and self-concept. In addition, through this course, students will be taught about verbal and non-verbal communication orally and in writing as well as practicing it. Description **Learning Materials** 1) Understanding communication, communication models and self-concept / Topics 2) Verbal and non-verbal communication 3) Principles and practices of oral and written communication 4) Applying Interpersonal Communication in the field of tourism Library Main 1) Roem, ER & Sarmiati. (2019). Interpersonal Communication. Malang: CV. IRDH. 2) Rakhmawati, Y. (2019). Interpersonal Communication: Concepts and Empirical Studies. Surabaya: CV. Putra Media Nusantara. 3) Wood, Julia.T. (2013). Interpersonal Communication: Daily Interaction. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika **Supporters**



FACULTY OF ADMINISTRATIVE SCIENCES DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION/TOURISM STUDY PROGRAM

SEMESTER LEARNING PLAN SUBJECT CODE WEIGHT SEMESTER Date of **COURSE CLUBS** (credits) Compilation **Interpersonal Communication MKWPS** 3 PAR62016 3 February 9, 2024 **Updates:** February 13, 2024 **AUTHORIZATION RPS Developer Lecturer RMK Coordinator Head of Study Program** Arsih Amalia Chandra Permata, Drs. Wiyata., MAB, PhD Dr. Edy Yulianto, M.P. MIKom Learning **CPL PROGRAM** Outcomes 1. Materials released by lecturers 2. Material from the internet Hardware: Instructional Software: Microsoft Power Point Media LED TV Microsoft Word Laptop/PC **Team Teaching** Course Requirements

| Week 2- | Sub-CP-MK (as the expected final capability) | Indicator | Assessment Criteria & Forms | Form / Method / Learning Experience (Lectures / Assignments / other forms of learning) | Time (Duration) | Learning Materials / Study Materials [Library] | Assessment Weight (%) |
|------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|--------------------------|
| 1.2 | Able to understand the concept of communication and communication models | Able to explain: 1.Definition of communication 2.The purpose of interpersonal communication 3.The importance of interpersonal communication 4.Communicati on models | Form: QuizInstrument s:- Rubric- Guidelines- Written quiz Test Basis: UTS | Form of learning: a. Lecture Learning methods: a. Lecture plus discussion b. Recitation c. Discovery Learning d. Self-Directing Learning Learning Experience:a. Readingb. Listening to wordsc. Expressing opinions | [TM: 4x2x50'] [BM+TT: {1+1}x{8x60'}] | 1) Roem, ER & Sarmiati. (2019). Interpersonal Communication. Malang: CV. IRDH. 2) Rakhmawati, Y. (2019). Interpersonal Communication: Concepts and Empirical Studies. Surabaya: CV. Putra Media Nusantara. | 10% |
| 3.4 | Able to understand the concept of self | Able to explain: 1.Understandin g self-concept | Form: QuizInstrument s:- Rubric- Guidelines- Written quiz | Form of learning: a. Lecture Learning methods: | [TM: 4x2x50'] [BM+TT: {1+1}x{8x60'}] | 1) Roem, ER & Sarmiati. (2019). Interpersonal Communication. | 10% |

| | | 2.Building and developing self-concept 3.Self-concept in interpersonal communication 1.Types of self-concept 2.Dimensions of self-concept | Test Basis: UTS | a. Lecture plus discussion b. Recitation c. Discovery Learning d. Self-Directing Learning Learning Experience:a. Readingb. Listening to wordsc. Expressing opinions | | Malang: CV. IRDH. 2) Rakhmawati, Y. (2019). Interpersonal Communication: Concepts and Empirical Studies. Surabaya: CV. Putra Media Nusantara. | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|----|
| 5 | Able to understand verbal communication | Able to explain: 1. Understand ing verbal communica tion 2. Verbal communica tion in interperson al communica tion 3. The function of | Form: QuizInstrument s:- Rubric- Guidelines- Written quiz Test Basis: UTS | Form of learning: a. Lecture Learning methods: a. Lecture plus discussion b. Recitation c. Discovery Learning d. Self-Directing Learning | [TM: 2x2x50'] [BM+TT: {1+1}x{4x60'}] | 1) Roem, ER & Sarmiati. (2019). Interpersonal Communication. Malang: CV. IRDH. 2) Rakhmawati, Y. (2019). Interpersonal Communication: Concepts and Empirical Studies. Surabaya: CV. Putra Media Nusantara. | 5% |

| | | verbal communica tion in interperson al communica tion | | Experience:a. Readingb. Listening to wordsc. Expressing opinions | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|-----|
| 6 | Able to understand Non-Verbal Communication | Able to explain: 1. Understand ing non-verbal communica tion 2. Non-verbal communica tion in interperson al communica tion 3. Functions of non-verbal communica tion | Form: QuizInstrument s:- Rubric- Guidelines- Written quiz Test Basis: UTS | Form of learning: a. Lecture Learning methods: a. Lecture plus discussion b. Recitation c. Discovery Learning d. Self-Directing Learning Learning Experience:a. Readingb. Listening to wordsc. Expressing opinions | [TM: 2x2x50'] [BM+TT: {1+1}x{4x60'}] | 1) Roem, ER & Sarmiati. (2019). Interpersonal Communication. Malang: CV. IRDH. 2) Rakhmawati, Y. (2019). Interpersonal Communication: Concepts and Empirical Studies. Surabaya: CV. Putra Media Nusantara. | 5% |
| 7,9, 10,11,1 2,13 | Able to understand and practice principles and oral communication | Able to explain and practice: | Form: Demonstration | Form of learning: a. Lecture | [TM: 12x2x50'] | 1) Roem, ER & Sarmiati. (2019). Interpersonal | 40% |

| 8 | | Principles of public speaking Principles of public presentatio n Public presentatio n practice | Instrument: - Rubric Non-Exam Basis: Practice/demon stration | b.Tutorial Learning methods: a. Lecture plus discussion b. Practice Learning Experience:a. Readingb. Listening to wordsc. Expressing opinions | [BM+TT: {1+1}x{24x60'}] | Communication. Malang: CV. IRDH. 2) Rakhmawati, Y. (2019). Interpersonal Communication: Concepts and Empirical Studies. Surabaya: CV. Putra Media Nusantara. | |
|-------|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|-----|
| 14.15 | Able to understand and practice principles and written communication | Able to explain and practice: 1. Principles of written communica tion 2. Written communica tion practice: Writing content about tourist destination | Form: Demonstration Instrument: Rubric Non-Exam Form: Practice/demon stration | Form of learning: a. Lecture b.Tutorial Learning methods: a. Lecture plus discussion b. Practice Learning Experience:a. Readingb. Listening to wordsc. Expressing opinions | [TM: 4x2x50'] [BM+TT: {1+1}x{8x60'}] | 1) Roem, ER & Sarmiati. (2019). Interpersonal Communication. Malang: CV. IRDH. 2) Rakhmawati, Y. (2019). Interpersonal Communication: Concepts and Empirical Studies. Surabaya: CV. Putra Media Nusantara. | 30% |
| 16 | | | | UAS | | | |

TASK DESIGN

The assignments carried out in this lecture are in the form of Structured Assignments and Independent/Group Assignments:

- Structured Assignments are independent assignments in which students submit a written summary of the lecture results at the meeting, which are then sent to the lecturer.
- Independent/Group assignments consist of public speaking practice/demonstration and writing tourist attraction reviews practice/demonstration.

ASSESSMENT PERCENTAGE

| Types of Assessment | Weight |
|---------------------|--------|
| Task | 15% |
| UTS | 15% |
| Project base | 50% |
| UAS | 20% |

CPL ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION TABLE AT MK

| Week to: | CPL | СРМК | Questions (Weight%) | Assessment Weight (test/non-test) | Weight (%) |
|----------|------------|------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------|
| 1 | CPL1, CPL4 | 1 | Task 1 | 2 | 5 |
| | | | Mid-term exam questions (question 1) | 3 | |
| 2 | CPL1, CPL4 | 1 | Task 2 | 2 | 5 |
| | | | Mid-term exam questions (question 2) | 3 | |
| 3 | CPL1, CPL4 | 2 | Task 3 | 2 | 5 |
| | | | Mid-term exam questions (question 3) | 3 | |
| 4 | CPL1, CPL4 | 2 | Task 4 | 2 | 5 |
| | | | Mid-term exam questions (questions 4, 5) | 3 | |
| 5 | CPL1, CPL4 | 3 | Task 5 | 2 | 5 |
| | | | Mid-term exam questions (question 6) | 3 | |
| 6 | CPL1, CPL4 | 3 | Task 6 | 2 | 5 |
| | | | Mid-term exam questions (question 7) | 3 | |
| 7-13 | CPL1, CPL4 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | Project 1 | 40 | 40 |
| 8 | | MID-SI | EMESTER EXAM (QUESTIONS 1, 2, | 3, 4, 5, 6, 7) | |
| 14-15 | CPL1, CPL4 | 1, 2, 3, 4 | Final Exam Questions (Question 8) | 30 | 30 |
| 16 | | | FINAL SEMESTER EXAM (QUESTIO | N 8) | |

DETERMINATION OF FINAL VALUE

| Final Value Range (NA) | Quality Letters | Quality Score |
|---|--------------------|------------------|
| > 80 | A | 4 |
| 75 <na≤80< td=""><td>B+</td><td>3.5</td></na≤80<> | B+ | 3.5 |
| 69 <na≤75< td=""><td>В</td><td>3</td></na≤75<> | В | 3 |
| 60 <na≤69< td=""><td>C+</td><td>2.5</td></na≤69<> | C+ | 2.5 |
| 55 <na≤60< td=""><td>С</td><td>2</td></na≤60<> | С | 2 |
| 50 <na≤55< td=""><td>D+</td><td>1.5</td></na≤55<> | D+ | 1.5 |
| 44 <na≤50< td=""><td>D</td><td>1</td></na≤50<> | D | 1 |
| 0< NA≤44 | Е | 0 |

Assessment Weight Mapping – CPMK

| Assessment | СРМК1 | СРМК2 | СРМК3 | СРМК4 |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Task 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Task 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Task 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Task 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Task 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Task 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| UTS1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 |
| Project 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| UAS1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.6 |

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC:

| | ORAL COMMUNICATION PROJECT | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Category | Excellent (4) | Good (3) | Fair (2) | Needs Improvement (1) | Poor (0) | | | | | |
| Content Knowledge of the Topic | Demonstrates an in-depth understanding of the topic with accurate and relevant information. | Shows a good understanding of the topic with mostly accurate and relevant information. | Demonstrates some knowledge of the topic, but information may be limited or slightly off-topic. | Displays a lack of understanding of the topic, with inaccurate or irrelevant information. | Fails to address the topic. | | | | | |
| Clarity of Main Points | Clearly articulates and highlights the main points, providing a clear and engaging central message. | Presents main points reasonably well, but some additional clarity is needed. | Main points are somewhat unclear, making it challenging for the audience to follow. | Main points are muddled and difficult to discern. | No clear main points are presented. | | | | | |
| Delivery Vocal Delivery | Uses a clear, varied, and confident voice with excellent pace, pitch, and tone modulation. | Demonstrates a generally clear voice with some variation and confidence. | Vocal delivery is somewhat monotonous or shaky, affecting overall engagement. | Voice is difficult to understand, excessively monotonous, or very shaky. | Unintelligible vocal delivery. | | | | | |
| Body Language | Utilizes natural and purposeful gestures, facial expressions, and body | Demonstrates some appropriate gestures and | Exhibits distracting or awkward body language | Uses inappropriate or offensive body language. | Remains rigid and static throughout the presentation. | | | | | |

| | movements that enhance the message. | body language, but with limited effectiveness. | that hinders communication. | | |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|
| Eye Contact | Maintains consistent and engaging eye contact with the audience, establishing a connection. | Makes some effort to maintain eye contact, but it is sporadic or limited. | Avoids eye contact, impacting audience engagement and connection. | Stares at notes or slides throughout the presentation, completely avoiding eye contact. | Engages solely with notes or slides, ignoring the audience. |
| Organization Introduction | Engagingly introduces the topic, sets clear objectives, and captures the audience's attention. | Provides a decent introduction, but lacks some clarity or creativity. | Offers a weak introduction that does not engage the audience effectively. | Begins without a clear introduction or fails to capture the audience's attention. | Starts abruptly without any introduction. |
| Logical Flow | Presents ideas in a well-structured manner with seamless transitions between points. | Generally follows a logical sequence, but transitions are somewhat abrupt or disjointed. | Organization and transitions are weak, making it challenging to follow the flow. | Presents a disorganized and confused sequence of ideas. | Fails to present any coherent structure. |
| Conclusion | Delivers a powerful and memorable conclusion that reinforces the main points and leaves a lasting impact. | Provides a reasonable conclusion, but it lacks a strong impact or memorability. | Conclusion is weak, abrupt, or disconnected from the main message. | Lacks a proper conclusion or fails to summarize the key points. | Abruptly ends the presentation without any conclusion. |

| WRITTEN COMMUNICATION PROJECT (UAS) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Below Average | Average | Above Average | Perfect | | | | |
| (score 61-70) | (score 71-80) | (score 81-90) | (score 91-100) | | | | |
| 1) Not using appropriate analytical methods | Using acceptable analytical methods | Using a relatively precise analytical method | 1) Using appropriate analytical methods | | | | |
| 2) Incorrect data analysis | 2) Adequate data analysis | 2) Appropriate data analysis | 2) Effective Data Analysis | | | | |
| 3) Draw the wrong conclusion | 3) Draw relevant conclusions | 3) Draw an appropriate conclusion | 3) Draw effective conclusions | | | | |
| 4) No critical analysis of the data | 4) Adequate critical analysis of the data | 4) Critical analysis of the data | 4) Very critical analysis of the data | | | | |
| 5) Do not use references | 5) There are only one or two references and are not relevant | 5) There are many irrelevant references | 5) There are many and relevant references | | | | |
| 6) There is no relationship between literature review (theory, research) and questions | 6) There is a relationship between literature review (theory, research) and questions | 6) There is a relationship between literature review (theory, research) and questions | 6) There is a very clear relationship between literature review (theory, research) and questions | | | | |

| 7) Non-standard use of language and non-synchronized sentences | 7) Standard use of language and synchronous sentences | 7) Standard use of language and synchronous sentences | 7) Standard use of language and very synchronous sentences |
|--|--|---|--|
| 8) There is no explanation about the topic implication | 8) There is an explanation of the topic implications, although it is less in-depth | 8) There is a unique and critical explanation of the topic implications | 8) There is a unique and very critical explanation of the topic implications |
| 9) Essays are not structured systematically | 9) Essays are not structured systematically | 9) Essays are systematically arranged | 9) Essays are arranged systematically and neatly |